

Metric from Non-Metric Action of Gravity

Kiyoshi KAMIMURA [†], Shinobu MAKITA

Department of Physics, Toho University

Funabashi, Japan 274

and

Takeshi FUKUYAMA ^{*}

Department of Physics, Ritsumeikan University

Kyoto, Japan 603

The action of general relativity proposed by Capovilla, Jacobson and Dell is written in terms of $SO(3)$ gauge fields and gives Ashtekar's constraints for Einstein gravity. However, it does not depend on the space-time metric nor its signature explicitly. We discuss how the space-time metric is introduced from algebraic relations of the constraints and the Hamiltonian by focusing our attention on the signature factor. The system describes both Euclidian and Lorentzian metrics depending on reality assignments of the gauge connections. That is, Euclidian metrics arise from the real gauge fields. On the other hand, self-duality of the gauge fields, which is well known in the Ashtekar's formalism, is also derived in this theory from consistency condition of Lorentzian metric. We also show that the metric so determined is equivalent to that given by Urbantke, which is usually accepted as a definition of the metric for this system.

[†](KAMIMURA@JPNRIFP.BITNET) ^{*}(FUKUYAMA@JPNRIFP.BITNET)

A new form of canonical gravity proposed by Ashtekar[1] has various nice features. It is a non abelian gauge theory with the gauge group $SO(3)$ (or $SU(2)$). The first class constraints generating the general coordinate and the gauge transformations are of polynomial forms. However, the canonical variables are complex valued and must satisfy so called *reality conditions*. It is formulated starting from an action which is a sum of Einstein and complex total divergence terms and significance of the reality conditions has been discussed[2].

When we find $SO(3)$ as a fundamental symmetry group, it is more natural to describe the Lagrangian regarding the gauge connections as field variables. The action in terms of $SO(3)$ gauge fields is found by taking a Legendre transformation backward from the Hamiltonian to Lagrangian formalism. It is first given by Capovilla, Jacobson and Dell(CJD)[3] and after generalized to those including cosmological constants[4]. The CJD action for the Einstein gravity is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{-1}{4\eta} [G^{ab}G_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}G^a_a G^b_b], \quad (1)$$

where G_{ab} is the building block of the action. It is defined in terms of $SO(3)$ gauge field strength by

$$G_{ab} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu a}F_{\rho\sigma b}, \quad (2)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu a} = \partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]a} + g\epsilon_{abc}A_{\mu}^b A_{\nu}^c. \quad (3)$$

η in the Lagrangian is a scalar density multiplier field. The action is invariant under general coordinate transformations as well as $SO(3)$ gauge transformations. A characteristic property of the action is that it does not depend on any space-time metric. Especially there is *a priori* no sign of signature of metric (Euclidian or Lorentzian). $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is simply a Levi-Civita symbol, $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$. Another is absence of reality conditions accompanied in the Ashtekar formalism. It seems that they may be added *by hand* to have Lorentzian gravity.

In this paper we will discuss how the space-time metric arises from the action (1), which does not depend on the metric but has the general coordinate invariance. Especially we focus our attention on the signature of space-time metric. We find that the CJD action describes both Euclidian and Lorentzian metrics of general relativity depending on the reality assignments of the gauge connections. The reality conditions are derived from reality of the metric and the sign of its signature. They are found in known forms that $A_{\mu a}$ must be real for Euclidian metric and must be a complex self-dual spin connection for Lorentzian one.

In Hamiltonian formalism of the action (1) there appears a set of first class constraints,

$$\pi_\eta = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\pi^{0a} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$J^a = D_i \pi^{ia} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$T_j = \pi^{ka} F_{jka} = 0 \quad (7)$$

and

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \pi^{ia} \pi^{jb} F_{ij}{}^c = 0, \quad (8)$$

where π_η and $\pi^{\mu a}$ are momenta conjugate to η and $A_{\mu a}$, respectively and D_μ is $SO(3)$ covariant derivative. The constraints (4) and (5) tell that η and A_{0a} are arbitrary multipliers. Equation (6) is a Gauss law constraint for $SO(3)$. The last two (7) and (8) are reflecting the general covariance of the Lagrangian. The canonical Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = p\dot{q} - \mathcal{L}$ becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi^{0a} \dot{A}_{0a} - A_{0a} J^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} E_{ia} \mathbf{B}_j{}^a T_k + \frac{\eta}{2 \det B} H_0, \quad (9)$$

where the electric field is $E_{ia} \equiv F_{0ia}$ and the magnetic field is $B^{ia} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk}{}^a$. $\mathbf{B}_{ia}$ is its inverse and $\det B (\equiv \det B^{ia})$ is assumed to be non vanishing. In (9) we have used only $(p - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}})^2 = 0$ as strong equality but $(p - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}) = 0$ has never been used. By his prescription we can obtain correct forms of multipliers on constraints in terms of p, q and $\dot{q}$ [5].

The space-time metric is introduced if we can identify the constraints with diffeomorphism generators $H_\perp$ and $H_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$. In a metric space H_j 's generate transformations of three coordinates of space-like hyper-surfaces. $H_\perp$, on the other hand, deforms the hyper-surface in its normal direction n_μ . They satisfy the following algebra [6]

$$\{H_i(x), H_j(y)\} = H_i(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta(x - y) - H_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \delta(x - y), \quad (10)$$

$$\{H_j(x), H_\perp(y)\} = H_\perp(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \delta(x - y) \quad (11)$$

and

$$\{H_\perp(x), H_\perp(y)\} = -\epsilon(\gamma^{ij}(x) H_j(x) + \gamma^{ij}(y) H_j(y)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \delta(x - y), \quad (12)$$

where $\epsilon = n_\mu n^\mu$ is a signature of the metric *i.e.* $\epsilon = +1$ for Euclidian signature and -1 for Lorentzian one. γ^{ij} is the induced metric of the space-like hyper-surface and appears in the Poisson bracket of $H_\perp$'s as the structure function.

T_j 's in (7) satisfy the same algebra as that of H_j 's in (10)

$$\{T_i(x), T_j(y)\} = T_i(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta(x-y) - T_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \delta(x-y) \quad (13)$$

and they are identified without factor ambiguity

$$T_j = H_j. \quad (14)$$

Here and hereafter equalities are satisfied up to the $SO(3)$ gauge constraint; $J^a = 0$. It means that additional $SO(3)$ transformations are associated in the commutators. The algebra of T_j and H_0 becomes

$$\{T_j(x), H_0(y)\} = H_0(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta(x-y) - H_0(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \delta(x-y). \quad (15)$$

In order to connect H_0 with $H_\perp$, we change the density weight of H_0 by dividing it by $\pi^{1/2}$, ($\pi \equiv |\det \pi^{ia}|$). The Poisson bracket

$$\{T_j(x), \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} H_0(y)\} = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} H_0(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta(x-y) \quad (16)$$

has the same form as (11) and the Poisson bracket corresponding to (12) is

$$\{\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} H_0(x), \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} H_0(y)\} = -[\frac{\pi^{ia} \pi_a^j}{\pi} T_j(x) + \frac{\pi^{ia} \pi_a^j}{\pi} T_j(y)] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \delta(x-y). \quad (17)$$

It must be noted that the over all constant factor a of $H_\perp$ is not fixed algebraically,

$$H_\perp = \frac{a}{\pi^{1/2}} H_0. \quad (18)$$

It is assumed that π dose not vanish. The case of $\pi = 0$ corresponds to degenerate metric, which is not prohibited in the action (1). When π vanishes, in a region of parameter space of x^μ , the metric (of weight zero) is not well defined there. The CJD action may describe such a generalization of the Einstein theory [4].

Using $H_\perp$ in (18) and comparing the structure functions of (12) and (17), we find a expression of three metric γ^{ij} as

$$\gamma^{ij} = \epsilon a^2 \frac{\pi^{ia} \pi_a^j}{\pi}. \quad (19)$$

The remaining components of the metric are determined by examining a generator of surface deformation of amount δy^μ [6]

$$G[\delta y^\mu] = \int \delta y^\perp H_\perp + \delta y^j H_j. \quad (20)$$

In Hamiltonian formalism the space-like surface is labeled by x^0 itself and the Hamiltonian is the generator of x^0 translation. In this case

$$\delta y^\perp = \epsilon n_\mu \delta y^\mu = \frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon g^{00})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \delta x^0, \quad \delta y^j = \gamma^{jk} y_{,k}^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \delta y^\nu = -\frac{g^{0j}}{g^{00}} \delta x^0 \quad (21)$$

and

$$\gamma^{ij} = g^{ij} - \frac{g^{0i} g^{0j}}{g^{00}}. \quad (22)$$

By comparing the Hamiltonian (9) with (20) and (21) we find

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon g^{00}}} = \frac{\epsilon \eta \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2a \det B} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\beta^k \equiv -\frac{g^{0k}}{g^{00}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} E_{ia} \mathbf{B}_j^a. \quad (24)$$

We will examine whether the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ are determined from (19), (22), (23) and (24) consistently. The requirements for the metric are the following: 1) all the components are real quantities and 2) ϵg^{00} must be positive. 3) γ^{ij} is positive definite so that the equal-time space spans a space-like hyper-surface.

The lapse function α in (23) is a multiplier of *secondary* first class constraint $H_\perp$ and is taken to be positive as a result of gauge fixing condition on η . The shift vector β^j in (24) is a multiplier of *primary* first class constraint T_j and is taken to be real as the gauge choice. It means that for any β^j chosen in the Hamiltonian the equation (24) is always satisfied as a result of the equations of motion. γ^{ij} , on the other hand, is determined dynamically by (19). Assuming π^{ia} 's are real variables, ϵa^2 is required to be positive ;

$$\epsilon = \text{sign}(a^2). \quad (25)$$

The constant a is not determined from the $H_\perp$ algebra (11) and (12). However it enters in the Hamiltonian through $H_\perp$ and complex choices of a generally violate reality property of the canonical variables. For real values of a ($\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$), ϵ is +1 (Euclidian) and all

quantities including the gauge connection A_{ia} 's are taken to be real variables. That is, the action (1) describes metrics with Euclidian signature when the dynamical variables are real fields. It is consistent with the observation that the Ashtekar connections are real variables in the Euclidian signature.

In order to have Lorentzian signature $\epsilon = -1$, a must be a pure imaginary number (say $a \equiv \frac{i}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$). In this case A_{ia} 's cannot be real variables since the reality of π^{ia} and A_{ia} are not preserved during time development. We can show the following reality conditions on the canonical variables,

$$\pi^{ia\dagger} = \pi^{ia}, \quad (26)$$

$$(igA_{ia})^\dagger = (igA_{ia}) + i\epsilon_{abc}\omega_i^{bc}(\pi^{ja}) \quad (27)$$

are consistent with the Lorentzian signature, when α and β^j are real and the multiplier A_{0a} of the Gauss law constraint satisfies

$$A_{0a}^\dagger = A_{0a} - \frac{2}{ig}\omega_{00a}(\pi^{ia}, \alpha, \beta^j). \quad (28)$$

Here ω_μ^{AB} 's are components of spin connection which will be defined later. The reality conditions (26) and (27) are same as ones imposed in Ashtekar's formalism [1] while (28) is the reality condition on the multipliers of the first class constraints [2].

We will see how the reality conditions come out. We introduce spin connections $\omega_{\mu AB}$ ($A = 0, 1, 2, 3$) as auxiliary functions. They are defined using a torsion free condition

$$\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]A} + \omega_{[\mu A}^B e_{\nu]B} = 0 \quad (29)$$

with the tetrad variables $e_{\mu a}$

$$e_{ia} = \frac{\pi_{ia}}{(\kappa\pi)^{1/2}}, \quad e_{00} = \alpha, \quad e_{0a} = \beta^j e_{ja}, \quad e_{i0} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Here $\pi_{ia} = \gamma_{ij}\pi_a^j$ and γ_{ij} and e_{ia} are the inverse of γ^{ij} and e^{ia} , respectively. From the torsion free condition the components of the spin connection are solved as functions of π^{ia} , α , β^j and $\dot{\pi}^{ia}$. Among those, ω_{iab} is given as a function of π^{ia} 's only,

$$\omega_{iab} = -\frac{1}{2}e_i^c(A_{abc} - A_{bca} - A_{cab}); \quad A_{abc} \equiv e_a^j e_b^k \partial_{[j}e_{k]c}. \quad (31)$$

Other components, ω_{i0a} , ω_{0ab} and ω_{00a} , depend on the time derivative of π^{ia} 's. $\dot{\pi}^{ia}$ is evaluated using Hamilton's equation of motion with the Hamiltonian (9)

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi^{0a}\dot{A}_{0a} - A_{0a}J^a + \beta^j T_j + \epsilon\alpha H_\perp. \quad (32)$$

In the last term, $\epsilon a = \frac{1}{I\sqrt{\kappa}}$ and the factor I is equal to 1 for $\epsilon = 1$ and i for $\epsilon = -1$. The Hamilton's equation for π^{ia} is

$$\dot{\pi}^{ia} = D_j \left[\frac{\alpha}{I(\kappa\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \epsilon^{abc} \pi_b^j \pi_c^i \right] + D_j [\beta^j \pi^{ia} - \beta^i \pi^{ja}] + g \epsilon^{abc} \pi_b^i A_{0c}. \quad (33)$$

Thus the components of the spin connection are given as functions of π^{ia} , α , β^j and $A_{\mu a}$ (and their spatial derivatives);

$$\omega_{00a} = e_a^i [\partial_i \alpha + (IgA_{ib} + \frac{I}{2} \epsilon_{bcd} \omega_i^{cd}) \beta^j e_j^b], \quad (34)$$

$$\omega_{0ab} = \frac{1}{I} \epsilon_{abc} \omega_{00}^c - g \epsilon_{abc} A_0^c \quad (35)$$

and

$$\omega_{i0a} = \frac{I}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \omega_i^{bc} - IgA_{ia}. \quad (36)$$

Equations (31) and (34)-(36) are expressions of the spin connections in terms of the canonical variables and multipliers α , β^j and A_{0a} .

Now we return to the reality conditions. The reality of π^{ia} requires that of $\dot{\pi}^{ia}$ also. It turns out that all components of the spin connection, which are defined from the torsion free condition (29), are real quantities. The r.h.s. of eq.(31) is apparently real while those of (34)-(36) are not. The reality condition of π^{ia} actually requires that they must be real quantities. It turns to be reality conditions on the gauge connections. Note (35) and (36) are expressed as covariant form

$$\frac{Ig}{2} A_{\mu a} = \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu 0a} - \frac{I}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \omega_{\mu}^{bc}) \equiv \omega_{\mu 0a}^{(+)} \quad (37)$$

with real components of spin connection. For the Euclidian metric, $\epsilon = +1$ and $I = 1$. It says that A_{ia} 's are real canonical variables and A_{0a} 's are real multipliers. For the Lorentzian metric, $\epsilon = -1$ and $I = i$, A_{ia} 's are canonical variables satisfying the reality condition (27) and multipliers A_{0a} 's must satisfy the reality condition (28). The latter condition tells that A_{0a} 's are not chosen arbitrarily but the imaginary parts are related to α and β^j .

The equation (37) tells that $A_{\mu a}$'s are self-dual spin connections with respect to the internal indices both for $\epsilon = \pm 1$. By this assignment of reality conditions the total Hamiltonian density (32) is shown to be real. It guarantees that the reality condition (27) holds also at any time when it is satisfied initially. That is, time derivative of $A_{ia}^\dagger$ is

equal to complex conjugate of $\{A_{ia}, \mathcal{H}\}$. The Einstein equations follow from the equation of motion for A_{ia} when it is combined with the constraints (7) and (8).

The metric determined above from the algebraic relations of generators is equivalent to that given by Urbantke [7]. The latter is a symmetric contravariant tensor composed of $SO(3)$ gauge fields in a gauge invariant form,

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{A}{3!} \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} F_{\alpha\beta a} F_{\rho\sigma b} F_{\gamma\lambda c} \epsilon^{abc}, \quad (38)$$

where A is a scalar density of weight -2 and will be determined below. In terms of electric and magnetic fields they are

$$g^{00} = 4 A \det B, \quad (39)$$

$$g^{0k} = -\frac{g^{00}}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} E_{ia} \mathbf{B}_j^a \quad (40)$$

and

$$g^{ij} = \frac{g^{00}}{8} \epsilon^{ikl} \epsilon^{jmn} (E_{(ka} \mathbf{B}_{m)}^a) (E_{(lb} \mathbf{B}_{n)}^b) + \frac{g^{0i} g^{0j}}{g^{00}}. \quad (41)$$

A is fixed by comparing (39) with (23) as

$$A = \frac{(\epsilon a^2) \det B}{\eta^2 \pi}. \quad (42)$$

The second equation (40) is exactly same as (24). In order to show the third one (41) gives the same three metric γ^{ij} as in (19) we must write the first term in terms of canonical variables. It is indeed performed and (41) gives same metric of (19). Although the metric (38) contains momentum variable π . It is written in terms of Lagrangian variables only in a following form

$$\sqrt{\epsilon g} g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{I \kappa}{12 \eta} \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} F_{\alpha\beta a} F_{\rho\sigma b} F_{\gamma\lambda c} \epsilon^{abc}, \quad (43)$$

where $g = \det g_{\mu\nu}$. Here again the same reality conditions must be assigned to obtain physically acceptable metrics.

In summary we have shown that the CJD action describes both Euclidian and Lorentzian metrics of general relativity depending on the reality assignments of the gauge connections. That is, A_{ia} must be real for Euclidian metric ($\epsilon = I = 1$) and must satisfy the reality condition (27) for Lorentzian one ($\epsilon = -1, I = i$). These reality conditions may be required on the initial data of the canonical variables in classical theory and on the additional measure factor in the inner products in quantum theory[2]. The feature of CJD action is not only to give both Euclidian and Lorentzian metrics but also degenerate one[3][4]. They may play important roles in quantum gravity and quantum cosmology.

References

- [1] A.Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2244 (1986), Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1587 (1987), "Lectures on Non-Perturbative Canonical Gravity", (World Scientific, 1991).
- [2] K.Kamimura and T.Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1105 (1990), Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1885 (1990).
- [3] R.Capovilla, T.Jacobson and J.Dell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2325 (1989).
- [4] An extensive review is given in I.Bengtsson, "Ashtekar's variables and the Cosmological Constants", Göteborg preprint ITP91-33,(1991).
- [5] K.Kamimura, Nuovo Cimento **68B**, 33 (1982).
- [6] C.Teitelboim, Ann. of Phys. **79**, 542 (1973).
- [7] H.Urbantke, J. Math. Phys. **25**,2321 (1984).